

Modal Logic — Exercise Sheet 2

Solutions

Pascal Ludwig

Contents

1	Exercise 1: Natural Deduction in K	2
1.1	(a) $\vdash_K \Box(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\Box p \wedge \Box q)$	2
1.2	(b) $\vdash_K (\Box p \vee \Box q) \rightarrow \Box(p \vee q)$	3
1.3	(c) $\vdash_K (\Diamond p \vee \Diamond q) \leftrightarrow \Diamond(p \vee q)$	3
1.4	(d) $\vdash_K \Diamond(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (\Diamond p \wedge \Diamond q)$	4
1.5	(e) $\vdash_K \Box \neg \Box \neg \neg p \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box p$	5
1.6	(f) Derived rule: from $\neg \Box \varphi$, derive $\Diamond \neg \varphi$	5
2	Exercise 2: Natural Deduction in Alethic Logics	6
2.1	2(a): Theorems of $M (= T)$	6
2.2	2(b): Theorems of $S4$, $S5$, and B	6
3	Exercise 3: Deontic Logic and Tense Logic	8
3.1	3(a): In $O + OO$, prove $Op \rightarrow OPp$	8
3.2	3(b): Theorems of tense logic K_t	8

1 Exercise 1: Natural Deduction in K

1.1 (a) $\vdash_K \Box(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\Box p \wedge \Box q)$

Left-to-right: $\Box(p \wedge q) \vdash_K \Box p \wedge \Box q$.

1	$w : \Box(p \wedge q)$	P
2	wRv	fresh v
3	$v : p \wedge q$	$\Box E$, 1, 2
4	$v : p$	$\wedge E$, 3
5	$w : \Box p$	$\Box I$, 2—4
6	wRu	fresh u
7	$u : p \wedge q$	$\Box E$, 1, 6
8	$u : q$	$\wedge E$, 7
9	$w : \Box q$	$\Box I$, 6—8
10	$w : \Box p \wedge \Box q$	$\wedge I$, 5, 9

Right-to-left: $\Box p \wedge \Box q \vdash_K \Box(p \wedge q)$.

1	$w : \Box p \wedge \Box q$	P
2	$w : \Box p$	$\wedge E$, 1
3	$w : \Box q$	$\wedge E$, 1
4	wRv	fresh v
5	$v : p$	$\Box E$, 2, 4
6	$v : q$	$\Box E$, 3, 4
7	$v : p \wedge q$	$\wedge I$, 5, 6
8	$w : \Box(p \wedge q)$	$\Box I$, 4—7

1.2 (b) $\vdash_K (\Box p \vee \Box q) \rightarrow \Box(p \vee q)$

1		$w : \Box p \vee \Box q$	H
2		$w : \Box p$	H ($\vee$ E)
3		wRv	fresh v
4		$v : p$	$\Box$ E, 2, 3
5		$v : p \vee q$	$\vee$ I, 4
6		$w : \Box(p \vee q)$	$\Box$ I, 3—5
7		$w : \Box q$	H ($\vee$ E)
8		wRu	fresh u
9		$u : q$	$\Box$ E, 7, 8
10		$u : p \vee q$	$\vee$ I, 9
11		$w : \Box(p \vee q)$	$\Box$ I, 8—10
12		$w : \Box(p \vee q)$	$\vee$ E, 1, 2—6, 7—11
13		$w : (\Box p \vee \Box q) \rightarrow \Box(p \vee q)$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—12

Each case of $\vee$ E opens its own $\Box$ I sub-proof, bringing the relevant variable down to the accessible world.

1.3 (c) $\vdash_K (\Diamond p \vee \Diamond q) \leftrightarrow \Diamond(p \vee q)$

Left-to-right: $\Diamond p \vee \Diamond q \vdash_K \Diamond(p \vee q)$.

1		$w : \Diamond p \vee \Diamond q$	P
2		$w : \Diamond p$	H ($\vee$ E)
3		$wRv, v : p$	fresh v
4		$v : p \vee q$	$\vee$ I, 3
5		$w : \Diamond(p \vee q)$	$\Diamond$ I, 3, 4
6		$w : \Diamond(p \vee q)$	$\Diamond$ E, 2, 3—5
7		$w : \Diamond q$	H ($\vee$ E)
8		$wRu, u : q$	fresh u
9		$u : p \vee q$	$\vee$ I, 8
10		$w : \Diamond(p \vee q)$	$\Diamond$ I, 8, 9
11		$w : \Diamond(p \vee q)$	$\Diamond$ E, 7, 8—10
12		$w : \Diamond(p \vee q)$	$\vee$ E, 1, 2—6, 7—11

Right-to-left: $\Diamond(p \vee q) \vdash_K \Diamond p \vee \Diamond q$.

1	$w : \Diamond(p \vee q)$	P
2	$wRv, v : p \vee q$	fresh v
3	$v : p$	H ($\vee$ E)
4	$w : \Diamond p$	$\Diamond$ I, 2, 3
5	$w : \Diamond p \vee \Diamond q$	$\vee$ I, 4
6	$v : q$	H ($\vee$ E)
7	$w : \Diamond q$	$\Diamond$ I, 2, 6
8	$w : \Diamond p \vee \Diamond q$	$\vee$ I, 7
9	$w : \Diamond p \vee \Diamond q$	$\vee$ E, 2, 3—5, 6—8
10	$w : \Diamond p \vee \Diamond q$	$\Diamond$ E, 1, 2—9

1.4 (d) $\vdash_K \Diamond(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (\Diamond p \wedge \Diamond q)$

This was proved in the lecture notes (Section 5.5). We reproduce it:

1	$w : \Diamond(p \wedge q)$	P
2	$wRv, v : p \wedge q$	fresh v
3	$v : p$	$\wedge$ E, 2
4	$v : q$	$\wedge$ E, 2
5	$w : \Diamond p$	$\Diamond$ I, 2, 3
6	$w : \Diamond q$	$\Diamond$ I, 2, 4
7	$w : \Diamond p \wedge \Diamond q$	$\wedge$ I, 5, 6
8	$w : \Diamond p \wedge \Diamond q$	$\Diamond$ E, 1, 2—7

1.5 (e) $\vdash_K \Box \neg \Box \neg \neg p \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box p$

1			$w : \Box \neg \Box \neg \neg p$	H
2				
2			$w R v$	fresh v
3				
3			$v : \neg \Box \neg \neg p$	$\Box E$, 1, 2
4				
4				
4			$v : \Box p$	H ($\neg I$)
5				
5				
5			$v R u$	fresh u
6				
6				
6			$u : p$	$\Box E$, 4, 5
7				
7				
7				
7			$u : \neg p$	H ($\neg I$)
8				
8				
8			$u : \perp$	$\neg E$, 6, 7
9				
9			$u : \neg \neg p$	$\neg I$, 7—8
10				
10			$v : \Box \neg \neg p$	$\Box I$, 5—9
11				
11			$v : \perp$	$\neg E$, 3, 10
12			$v : \neg \Box p$	$\neg I$, 4—11
13			$w : \Box \neg \Box p$	$\Box I$, 2—12
14			$w : \Box \neg \Box \neg \neg p \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box p$	$\rightarrow I$, 1—13

Key idea: Assume $\Box p$ at v ; inside a nested $\Box I$ sub-proof, derive $\neg \neg p$ at each accessible world u (by double negation introduction from p). This gives $\Box \neg \neg p$ at v , contradicting $\neg \Box \neg \neg p$. So $\neg \Box p$ at v .

1.6 (f) Derived rule: from $\neg \Box \varphi$, derive $\Diamond \neg \varphi$

We prove $\neg \Box \varphi \vdash_K \Diamond \neg \varphi$:

1			$w : \neg \Box \varphi$	P
2				
2			$w : \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi$	H ($\neg I$)
3				
3				
3			$w R v$	fresh v
4				
4				
4			$v : \neg \varphi$	H ($\neg I$)
5				
5				
5			$w : \Diamond \neg \varphi$	$\Diamond I$, 3, 4
6				
6			$w : \perp$	$\neg E$, 2, 5
7				
7			$v : \neg \neg \varphi$	$\neg I$, 4—6
8				
8			$v : \varphi$	$\neg \neg E$, 7
9			$w : \Box \varphi$	$\Box I$, 3—8
10			$w : \perp$	$\neg E$, 1, 9
11			$w : \neg \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi$	$\neg I$, 2—10
12			$w : \Diamond \neg \varphi$	$\neg \neg E$, 11

Strategy: Assume $\neg \Diamond \neg \varphi$ for reductio. Inside a $\Box I$ sub-proof, derive φ at each accessible world (by assuming $\neg \varphi$, deriving $\Diamond \neg \varphi$, contradiction). This gives $\Box \varphi$, contradicting the premise.

2 Exercise 2: Natural Deduction in Alethic Logics

2.1 2(a): Theorems of $M (= T)$

$\vdash_M \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \varphi$.

1		$w : \varphi$	H
2		$w : \neg \Diamond \varphi$	H ($\neg$ I)
3		$w : \Box \neg \varphi$	Def. $\Diamond$, 2
4		$w : \neg \varphi$	$\Box$ E- M , 3
5		$w : \perp$	$\neg$ E, 1, 4
6		$w : \neg \neg \Diamond \varphi$	$\neg$ I, 2—5
7		$w : \Diamond \varphi$	$\neg \neg$ E, 6
8		$w : \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \varphi$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—7

Line 3 uses the equivalence $\neg \Diamond \varphi \dashv \vdash \Box \neg \varphi$ (proved in Ex. 1f and lecture notes). Line 4 uses $\Box$ E- M (the reflexivity rule).

$\vdash_M \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \varphi$.

1		$w : \Box \varphi$	H
2		$w : \varphi$	$\Box$ E- M , 1
3		$w : \Diamond \varphi$	$\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \varphi$, 2
4		$w : \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \varphi$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—3

Line 3 applies the theorem just proved. Alternatively: from $\Box \varphi$, $\Box$ E- M gives φ ; then repeat the reductio proof above.

2.2 2(b): Theorems of $S4$, $S5$, and B

In $S4$: $\vdash_{S4} \Box \Box \varphi \leftrightarrow \Box \varphi$. ($\Rightarrow$): $\Box \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \varphi$ by $\Box$ E- M (from T , which $S4$ extends).

($\Leftarrow$): $\Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \Box \varphi$ (axiom 4). Proved in the lecture notes using transitivity:

1		$w : \Box \varphi$	P
2		wRv	fresh v
3		vRu	fresh u
4		wRu	Trans., 2, 3
5		$u : \varphi$	$\Box$ E, 1, 4
6		$v : \Box \varphi$	$\Box$ I, 3—5
7		$w : \Box \Box \varphi$	$\Box$ I, 2—6

In $S4$: $\vdash_{S4} \Diamond \Diamond \varphi \leftrightarrow \Diamond \varphi$. ($\Rightarrow$): $\Diamond \Diamond \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \varphi$.

1			$w : \Diamond\Diamond\varphi$	H
2			$wRv, v : \Diamond\varphi$	fresh v
3			$vRu, u : \varphi$	fresh u
4			wRu	Trans., 2, 3
5			$w : \Diamond\varphi$	$\Diamond$ I, 4, 3
6			$w : \Diamond\varphi$	$\Diamond$ E, 2, 3—5
7			$w : \Diamond\varphi$	$\Diamond$ E, 1, 2—6
8			$w : \Diamond\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—7

$(\Leftarrow)$: $\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\Diamond\varphi$.

1			$w : \Diamond\varphi$	H
2			$wRv, v : \varphi$	fresh v
3			vRv	Refl.
4			$v : \Diamond\varphi$	$\Diamond$ I, 3, 2
5			$w : \Diamond\Diamond\varphi$	$\Diamond$ I, 2, 4
6			$w : \Diamond\Diamond\varphi$	$\Diamond$ E, 1, 2—5
7			$w : \Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\Diamond\varphi$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—6

In S_4 : $\vdash_{S_4} \Box\Diamond\Diamond\varphi \leftrightarrow \Box\Diamond\varphi$. This follows from $\Diamond\Diamond\varphi \leftrightarrow \Diamond\varphi$ (just proved) by “lifting under $\Box$ ”: since the equivalence is a theorem, necessitation gives $\Box(\Diamond\Diamond\varphi \leftrightarrow \Diamond\varphi)$, and distribution gives $\Box\Diamond\Diamond\varphi \leftrightarrow \Box\Diamond\varphi$.

More explicitly: the left-to-right direction $\Box\Diamond\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Box\Diamond\varphi$ is proved by opening a $\Box$ I sub-proof at fresh v , applying $\Box$ E to get $\Diamond\Diamond\varphi$ at v , then applying $\Diamond\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi$ at v to get $\Diamond\varphi$ at v . Similarly for the converse.

In S_5 : $\vdash_{S_5} \Box\Diamond\varphi \leftrightarrow \Diamond\varphi$. $(\Rightarrow)$: $\Box\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi$ by $\Box$ E- M .

$(\Leftarrow)$: $\Diamond\varphi \rightarrow \Box\Diamond\varphi$ is axiom 5. □

In S_5 : prove axiom B ($\varphi \rightarrow \Box\Diamond\varphi$).

1			$w : \varphi$	H
2			$w : \Diamond\varphi$	$\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi$, 1
3			$w : \Box\Diamond\varphi$	Axiom 5, 2
4			$w : \varphi \rightarrow \Box\Diamond\varphi$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—3

Line 2: $\varphi \rightarrow \Diamond\varphi$ is a theorem of M (proved in 2a), and S_5 extends M . Line 3: axiom 5 ($\Diamond\psi \rightarrow \Box\Diamond\psi$).

In B: $\vdash_B \Diamond \Box \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$. *Hint: use $\neg \varphi \rightarrow \Box \Diamond \neg \varphi$ (axiom B with $\neg \varphi$) and exercise 1e.*

We prove the contrapositive $\neg \varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \Box \varphi$, i.e. $\neg \varphi \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box \varphi$:

1. From $\neg \varphi$, by axiom B: $\Box \Diamond \neg \varphi$, i.e. $\Box \neg \Box \neg \neg \varphi$ (using $\Diamond \psi = \neg \Box \neg \psi$ with $\psi = \neg \varphi$, so $\Diamond \neg \varphi = \neg \Box \neg \neg \varphi$).
2. By exercise 1e: $\Box \neg \Box \neg \neg \varphi \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box \varphi$.
3. Chain: $\neg \varphi \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box \varphi$.

Since $\Box \neg \Box \varphi$ is $\neg \Diamond \Box \varphi$ (by the equivalence $\neg \Diamond \psi \dashv \vdash \Box \neg \psi$ with $\psi = \Box \varphi$), we obtain $\neg \varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \Box \varphi$. Contrapositive: $\Diamond \Box \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$. $\square$

In S5: $\vdash_{S5} \Diamond \Box \varphi \leftrightarrow \Box \varphi$. *Hint: use exercise 2a, exercise 1f, and axiom 5 in the form $\Diamond \neg \varphi \rightarrow \Box \Diamond \neg \varphi$.*

($\Leftarrow$): $\Box \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \Box \varphi$ by $\psi \rightarrow \Diamond \psi$ (theorem of M, with $\psi = \Box \varphi$).

($\Rightarrow$): $\Diamond \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \varphi$. We prove the contrapositive $\neg \Box \varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \Box \varphi$:

1. From $\neg \Box \varphi$, by exercise 1f: $\Diamond \neg \varphi$.
2. By axiom 5 (with $\neg \varphi$): $\Diamond \neg \varphi \rightarrow \Box \Diamond \neg \varphi$.
3. So $\Box \Diamond \neg \varphi$, i.e. $\Box \neg \Box \neg \neg \varphi$ (by definition of $\Diamond$).
4. By exercise 1e: $\Box \neg \Box \neg \neg \varphi \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box \varphi$.
5. So $\Box \neg \Box \varphi$, which is $\neg \Diamond \Box \varphi$.

Contrapositive: $\Diamond \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \varphi$. $\square$

3 Exercise 3: Deontic Logic and Tense Logic

3.1 3(a): In $O + OO$, prove $Op \rightarrow OPp$

The axiom OO is $Op \leftrightarrow OOp$. Axiom D for O is $O\psi \rightarrow P\psi$ (i.e. $O\psi \rightarrow \neg O\neg\psi$).

1		$w : Op$	H
2		$w : OOp$	$OO (\Rightarrow), 1$
3		wRv	fresh v
4		$v : Op$	$OE, 2, 3$
5		$v : Pp$	$D, 4$
6		$w : OPp$	$OI, 3\text{---}5$
7		$w : Op \rightarrow OPp$	$\rightarrow I, 1\text{---}6$

Explanation: From Op , axiom OO gives OOp (line 2). Open an O -introduction sub-proof at fresh v : O -elimination on OOp gives Op at v (line 4); axiom D at v gives Pp (line 5). Close: OPp (line 6).

3.2 3(b): Theorems of tense logic K_t

In tense logic, G (“always future”) and H (“always past”) are $\Box$ -operators with respect to the future and past accessibility relations R_F and R_P , which are **converses**: wR_Fv iff vR_Pw .

Converse rule. From wR_Fv , derive vR_Pw (and vice versa).

$\vdash_{K_t} PGp \rightarrow p.$

1			$w : PGp$	H
2			$wR_P v, v : Gp$	fresh v (PE)
3			$vR_F w$	Converse, 2
4			$w : p$	GE, 2, 3
5			$w : p$	PE, 1, 2—4
6			$w : PGp \rightarrow p$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—5

Explanation: PGp at w means there is a past world v where Gp holds. Since v is in the past of w , w is in the future of v (converse, line 3). Since Gp holds at v and w is in v 's future, G -elimination gives p at w (line 4).

$\vdash_{K_t} FHp \rightarrow p.$

1			$w : FHp$	H
2			$wR_F v, v : Hp$	fresh v (FE)
3			$vR_P w$	Converse, 2
4			$w : p$	HE, 2, 3
5			$w : p$	FE, 1, 2—4
6			$w : FHp \rightarrow p$	$\rightarrow$ I, 1—5

Completely symmetric: FHp at w means there is a future world v where Hp holds. Since w is in the past of v (converse), H -elimination gives p at w .