

Natural Deduction for First-order Logic

February 5, 2025

1 Quantification

Use the Natural Deduction rules to show that:

1. $\forall x \neg Fx \vdash \exists x (Fx \rightarrow Gx)$
2. $\forall x \neg Fx \vdash \neg \exists x Fx$
3. $\neg \exists x Fx \vdash \forall x \neg Fx$
4. $\exists x \neg Fx \vdash \neg \forall x Fx$
5. $\neg \forall x Fx \vdash \exists x \neg Fx$
6. $(\forall x)(Fx \rightarrow (\forall y)Gy) \vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(Fx \rightarrow Fy)$

2 Identity

Prove the following theorems for FOL with identity:

1. $\vdash \forall x (x = x)$
2. $\vdash \forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$
3. $\vdash \forall x \forall y \forall z ((x = y \wedge y = z) \rightarrow x = z)$

3 Inconsistency

Prove that the following statements are logically equivalent:

- the set of formulas Γ is inconsistent
- for any sentence ϕ , $\Gamma \vdash \phi$
- for some sentence ϕ , $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \neg \phi$

4 Two useful semantic facts

- **Semantic monotonicity:** Prove that: if $\Gamma_i \subseteq \Gamma_j$ and $\Gamma_i \models \phi$, then $\Gamma_j \models \phi$.
- Prove that: If $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \models \psi$, then $\Gamma \models \phi \rightarrow \psi$

5 Soundness

Prove that the introduction and elimination rules for $\perp$, as well as the introduction and elimination rules for $\vee$, are sound.